

《清華中文學報》出版倫理聲明

一、編輯與出版單位規範

- **學術公正性**：本刊致力於學術誠信之維護，編輯委員會應純粹依據論文之學術價值、原創性及研究品質決定刊登與否，不受作者身分、國籍或政治信仰等非學術因素影響。
- **同儕審查**：本刊採行「雙向匿名同儕審查」制度。審查人員之推薦應考量專業適配度，並嚴格執行利害關係（如師生指導關係、同事或近三年內合作關係）之迴避。
- **保密責任**：參與編審之人員對投稿論文負有保密責任，未經授權不得透露文稿內容或挪為個人研究之用。
- **補助與非商業性**：本刊受國科會人文社會科學研究中心等單位補助，概不接受非學術目的之刊登要求。
- **利益衝突與迴避**：本刊編輯委員與審查人員應嚴格遵守利害關係迴避原則。若編輯委員為投稿者，須迴避該稿件之審理流程，以確保審查之公正性。

二、審查者規範

- **客觀審核**：審查人員應本於專業知能，針對稿件之學術原創性、正確性與價值進行公正、客觀之評核，並提供具體意見。
- **時效與揭露**：審查者應於規定期限內完成審核。若發現專業不符、存在利害關係或無法及時審查，應主動告知編輯委員會並撤銷委任。
- **保密責任**：參與編審之人員對投稿論文負有保密責任，在論文正式刊登前不得透露文稿內容或挪為個人研究之用。
- **來源查核**：審查者應協助發現稿件中，是否存在與已出版資料高度相似、重疊且未適當引注之情形，若有發現，應主動通知編輯部。

三、作者規範

- **研究原創性**：作者須確保論文為其原創作品，且未曾於其他刊物發表或同時投稿。
- **簽署投稿聲明**：凡投稿本刊之作者，皆須填寫本刊之

其中「投稿聲明」，以符合本刊規範與要求。

- **學位論文改寫之限制**：本刊不接受已發表之學位論文改寫投稿。
- **符合引注規範**：所有引注資料應正確標注，並附上引用書目。
- **共同作者之列名**：論文所有作者皆應對研究有實質貢獻。投稿後不得要求新增或減少共同作者，若需更動順序，須提交書面說明並檢附全體作者簽名同意書。
- **利益揭露**：作者應主動揭露任何可能影響研究結果之財務支持、經費補助或潛在利益衝突。
- **更正義務**：已出版作品若發現重大錯誤，作者有義務即時通知本刊並配合撤回或更正論文。
- **受試者保護與規範**：凡涉及受試對象(如語言心理實驗、訪談或田野調查等)之研究，作者應確保程序符合相關學術倫理規範與法令，並於文中注明核准情形。

四、違反倫理之處置

- **關於抄襲之認定**：關於學位論文改寫之限制、自我抄襲之定義、以及學術比對系統(如 Turnitin)之量化指標參考價值，擬由編輯委員會依個案進行實質審核。
- **關於抄襲之處置**：若稿件經調查證實違反學術倫理(如嚴重抄襲、假造資料或一稿多投)，本刊編輯委員會將召開會議處理，視情節採取撤銷刊登、暫停受理投稿或函告作者所屬單位等必要舉措。

Academic Ethics Statement

I. Responsibilities of the Editorial Board and Publisher

- **Academic Integrity:** The Journal is committed to upholding academic integrity. Decisions regarding publication shall be based solely on the scholarly merit, originality, and quality of submitted manuscripts, without discrimination on the basis of authors' identity, nationality, political beliefs, or other non-academic factors.
- **Peer Review:** The Journal operates a double-anonymous peer review system. Reviewers shall be selected on the basis of their expertise and suitability. Individuals with conflicts of interest, including advisor–student relationships, institutional affiliations, or research collaborations within the previous three years, shall be excluded from the review process.
- **Confidentiality:** Editors and reviewers are required to treat all submitted manuscripts as confidential. Manuscript contents shall not be disclosed to unauthorized parties or used for personal research purposes.
- **Funding and Non-commercial Publication:** The Journal receives financial support from institutions including the Research Institute for the Humanities and Social Sciences of the National Science and Technology Council. It does not accept publication requests made for non-academic purposes.
- **Conflicts of Interest and Recusal:** Members of the Editorial Board and reviewers shall strictly observe conflict-of-interest policies. Editorial Board members who submit manuscripts to the Journal must recuse themselves from all stages of the editorial and review process for their submissions in order to ensure impartial evaluation.

II. Responsibilities of Reviewers

- **Objective Evaluation:** Reviewers shall provide fair, objective, and constructive assessments of manuscripts based on their scholarly originality, accuracy, significance, and contribution to the field.
- **Timeliness and Disclosure:** Reviewers are expected to complete their evaluations within the specified timeframe. If a reviewer identifies a conflict of interest, lacks the necessary expertise, or is unable to complete the review promptly, they shall notify the Editorial Board and decline the review invitation.
- **Confidentiality:** Reviewers shall maintain the confidentiality of submitted manuscripts. Manuscript contents shall not be disclosed or used for personal research prior to publication.

- **Source Verification:** Reviewers should assist in identifying substantial similarities or overlaps between submitted manuscripts and previously published works that have not been appropriately acknowledged or cited. Any such concerns should be reported to the Editorial Office.

III. Responsibilities of Authors

- **Originality of Research:** Authors must ensure that their submissions are original works that have neither been previously published nor simultaneously submitted to another publication.
- **Submission Declaration:** All authors submitting manuscripts to the Journal are required to complete the Journal's Submission Information Form and sign the accompanying Author Declaration to confirm compliance with the Journal's policies and requirements.
- **Restrictions on Revised Theses and Dissertations:** The Journal does not accept manuscripts that are revised versions of previously published theses or dissertations.
- **Citation and Referencing:** Authors must ensure that all sources are accurately cited and that complete bibliographic information is provided.
- **Authorship:** All listed authors must have made substantial contributions to the research. Requests to add or remove co-authors after submission will not be accepted. Changes in authorship order may be considered only upon submission of a written explanation accompanied by signed consent from all authors.
- **Disclosure of Interests:** Authors shall disclose any financial support, funding sources, or potential conflicts of interest that may have influenced the research or its findings.
- **Corrections and Retractions:** If a significant error is discovered in a published work, authors are obligated to notify the Journal promptly and cooperate in issuing a correction or retraction as appropriate.
- **Research Participants and Ethical Compliance:** Research involving human participants, including psycholinguistic experiments, interviews, and fieldwork, must comply with applicable ethical standards and legal requirements. Authors should indicate relevant ethics approvals or review procedures within the manuscript.

IV. Procedures for Ethical Misconduct

- **Determination of Plagiarism:** Questions concerning the acceptability of revised theses or dissertations, definitions of self-plagiarism, and the interpretive value of similarity reports generated by academic plagiarism-

detection systems (e.g., Turnitin) shall be evaluated on a case-by-case basis by the Editorial Board through substantive review.

- **Actions in Cases of Misconduct:** If an investigation confirms that a manuscript has violated academic ethics, including but not limited to serious plagiarism, data fabrication, or duplicate submission, the Editorial Board shall review the case and take appropriate action, including retraction of the publication, suspension of future submissions, and notification to the author's affiliated institution.

Note for Further Editorial Discussion

- **Use of Generative Artificial Intelligence:** At present, authors may use generative AI tools for language editing and proofreading, provided that the name of the tool and the extent of its use are clearly disclosed in the manuscript. Reviewers should not upload unpublished manuscripts to AI platforms for analysis. Related policies and detailed guidelines will be revised as scholarly consensus continues to evolve.